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## Introduction

The *memberType* attribute is closely related to *consistencyStrategy* attribute. The descriptions are:

This attribute determines how to deal with the *<group>* resource if the *memberType* validation fails. Its possible values are

* ABANDON\_MEMBER
* ABANDON\_GROUP
* SET\_MIXED

Which means delete the inconsistent member if the attribute is ABANDON\_MEMBER; delete the group if the attribute is ABANDON\_GROUP; set the *memberType* to "mixed" if the attribute is SET\_MIXED.

If it is not given by the Originator at the creation procedure, default is " ABANDON\_MEMBER "

[case 1] single *memberType* of all reachable *memberIDs*

No issues.

[case 2] single memberType of partial reachable memberIDs

To deal with an unreachable *memberIDs,* it should check *consistencyStrategy.*

First, the consistencyStrategy shall ABANDON\_GROUP or ABANDON\_MEMBER in case of single memberType.

If the *consistencyStrategy* is SET\_MIXED, it is not clear to process.

Second, it is not clear to process for unreachable *memberIDs.* It depends on implementation.

[case3] mixed *memberType* and *consistencyStrategy* is SET\_MIXED

Third problem, it is not clear to process for unreachable memberIDs

This contribution proposes to resolve above issues by introducing *unreachableMemberIDs* and *enforcement* attributes.

An *unreachableMemberIDs* attribute is a list of *memberIDs* that is not reachable.

This is useful to check the validation of <group> resource. The TS-0004 is specified when *memberIDs* is not reachable, whole validation is performed again.

With this *unreachableMemberIDs* attribute, validation for <group> resource can perform to partial *memberIDs* not whole *memberIDs*

An *enforcement* attribute is value whether the unreachable *memberIDs* include or not. When enforcement is TRUE, operation(Create, Retrieve, Update, Delete) shall be performed even if *unreachableMemberIDs* exist.

When enforcement is FALSE, it may check reachability for *unreachableMemberIDs* or check *memberTypeValidated*.

The TS-0004 is not explicitly specified on this at this time.

The followings are possible procedure for protocol aspects. It needs to be discussed at PRO WG.

 

----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

### 9.6.13 Resource Type *group*

The *<group>* resource represents a group of resources of the same or mixed types. The *<group>* resource can be used to do bulk manipulations on the resources represented by the *memberIDs* attribute. The *<group>* resource contains an attribute that represents the members of the group and the *<fanOutPoint>* virtual resource that enables generic operations to be applied to all the resources represented by those members. By grouping <*semanticDescriptor*> resources across which a semantic description is distributed, another virtual resource (<*semanticFanOutPoint*>) enables semantic discovery procedures to be applied across the full logical tree in the description.



Figure 9.6.13-1: Structure of *<group>* resource

The *<group>* resource shall contain the child resources specified in table 9.6.13-1.

Table 9.6.13-1: Child resources of <group> resource

| Child Resources of *<group>* | Child Resource Type | Multiplicity | Description | *<groupAnnc>* Child Resource Types |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *[variable]* | *<semanticDescriptor>* | *0..n* | *See clause 9.6.30* | *<semanticDescriptor>, <semanticDescriptorAnnc>* |
| *[variable]* | *<subscription>* | 0..n | See clause 9.6.8 | *<subscription>* |
| *fopt* | *<fanOutPoint>* | 1 | See clause 9.6.14 | none |
| *sfop* | *<semanticFanOutPoint>* | 0..1 | See clause 9.6.14a | none |

The *<group>* resource shall contain the attributes specified in table 9.6.13-2.

Table 9.6.13-2: Attributes of *<group>* resource

| Attributes of  *<group>* | Multiplicity | RW/  RO/  WO | Description | *<groupAnnc>* Attributes |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *resourceType* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. | NA |
| *resourceID* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. | NA |
| *resourceName* | 1 | WO | See clause 9.6.1.3. | NA |
| *parentID* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. | NA |
| *expirationTime* | 1 | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3. | MA |
| *accessControlPolicyIDs* | 0..1 (L) | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3. | MA |
| *labels* | 0..1 (L) | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3. | MA |
| *creationTime* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. | NA |
| *lastModifiedTime* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. | NA |
| *announceTo* | 0..1 (L) | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3. | NA |
| *announcedAttribute* | 0..1 (L) | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3. | NA |
| *dynamicAuthorizationConsultationIDs* | 0..1 (L) | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3. | OA |
| *creator* | 0..1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. | NA |
| *memberType* | 1 | WO | It is the resource type of the member resources of the group, if all member resources (including the member resources in any sub-groups) are of the same type. Otherwise, it is of type 'mixed'.  <*CSEBase*> shall not be *memberType*. When <*CSEBase*> needs to *memberType*, <*remoteCSE*> which is registered in registar shall be used. | OA |
| *currentNrOfMembers* | 1 | RO | Current number of members in a group. It shall not be larger than *maxNrOfMembers*. | OA |
| *maxNrOfMembers* | 1 | RW | Maximum number of members in the *<group>*. | OA |
| *memberIDs* | 1 (L) | RW | List of member resource IDs referred to in the remaining of the present document as *memberID*. Each ID (*memberID*) should refer to a member resource or a (sub-) *<group>* resource of the *<group>*. A <group> resource with an empty member list is allowed. | OA |
| *membersAccessControlPolicyIDs* | 0..1 (L) | RW | List of IDs of the *<accessControlPolicy>* resources defining who is allowed to access the *<fanOutPoint>* resource. | OA |
| *memberTypeValidated* | 0..1 | RO | Denotes if the resource types of all members resources of the group has been validated by the Hosting CSE. In the case that the *memberType* attribute of the <*group*> resource is not 'mixed', then this attribute shall be set.. | OA |
| *consistencyStrategy* | 1 | WO | This attribute determines how to deal with the *<group>* resource if the *memberType* validation fails. Its possible values are   * ABANDON\_MEMBER * ABANDON\_GROUP * SET\_MIXED   In case of ABANDON\_MEMBER, the <*group*> shall remove the inconsistent *memberIDs.*  In case of ABANDON\_GROUP, the <*group*> shall not create or update to the group.  In case of SET\_MIXED, the <*group*> shall set the *memberType* to "mixed" at *memberType* attribute.  If it is not given by the Originator at the creation procedure, default is " ABANDON\_MEMBER " | OA |
| *groupName* | 0..1 | RW | Human readable name of the *<group>*. | OA |
| *semanticSupportIndicator* | 0..1 | RO | Indicator of support for sematic discovery functionality via <semanticFanOutPoint>. | OA |
| *unreachableMemberIDs* | 0..1(L) | RW | List of *memberIDs* that is not reachable | NA |
| *enforcement* | 1 | WO | This is boolean value and default is TRUE. When *enforcement* is TRUE, operation shall be performed even if *unreachableMemberIDs* exist.  When *enforcement* is FALSE, it may check reachability for *unreachableMemberIDs* or check *memberTypeValidated.* | MA |
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