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## Introduction

The CR proposes changes in <mgmtCmd> UPDATE (NORMAL) procedure.

**Change 1:**

In TS-0004, **clause 7.3.3.4**, it is mentioned that

|  |
| --- |
| **NP attribute for update request**If the attribute is present in the resource representation in the UPDATE request, the Hosting CSE shall reject the request with a ***Response Status Code*** indicating "BAD\_REQUEST" error. |

In TS-0004, clause 7.4.16.2.3.1 for <mgmtCmd> update, it is mentioned that

|  |
| --- |
| If the Originator attempts to update attributes ***resourceType***, ***resourceID*** or ***cmdType***, the Receiver shall generate a ***Response Status Code*** indicating "BAD\_REQUEST" error. |

The CR proposes to delete this line from <mgmtCmd> update as it doesn’t mention all the NP attributes and same can be handled by the common description given in clause 7.3.3.4 as highlighted above.

**Change 2:**

In TS-0004, clause 7.3.3.3 and 7.3.3.4, it is mentioned that:

|  |
| --- |
| If the provided value is not accepted, the Hosting CSE shall reject the request with a ***Response Status Code*** indicating "BAD\_REQUEST" error. |

But in <mgmtCmd> update procedure, it is mentioned that for this case “CONTENTS\_UNACCEPTABLE” error is given, along with one other case.

|  |
| --- |
| 7.4.16.2.3.1 Update (Normal)If the Update primitive does not address the ***execEnable*** attribute of the <mgmtCmd>, it results in update of all or part of the information of an existing <mgmtCmd> resource with the new attribute values. The procedure uses the common Update operations detailed in clause 7.3, without primitive specific actions. The Originator shall use the steps Orig-U-1.0, Orig-U-2.0, and Orig-U-3.0 as described in clause 7.3. The Receiver shall use the steps Rcv-U-1.0 to Rcv-U-11.0 as described in clause 7.3.If the Originator attempts to update attributes ***resourceType***, ***resourceID*** or ***cmdType***, the Receiver shall generate a ***Response Status Code*** indicating "BAD\_REQUEST" error.If the Originator attempts to update attributes ***execTarget***, ***execMode***, but the <mgmtCmd> has child resource <execInstance> already created, the Receiver shall generate a ***Response Status Code*** indicating "CONTENTS\_UNACCEPTABLE" error.If the Originator attempts to update attributes any attribute with a value which is not allowed, the Receiver shall generate a ***Response Status Code*** indicating "CONTENTS\_UNACCEPTABLE" error.If the Update primitive for <mgmtCmd> does address the ***execEnable*** attribute of the <mgmtCmd>, it effectively triggers an Execute <mgmtCmd> procedure, see clause 7.3.15.2.3.2. |

The CR proposes to delete this line from <mgmtCmd> update as error same can be handled by the common description given in clause 7.3.3.4 as highlighted above.

### -----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

##### Update

7.4.16.2.3.1 Update (Normal)

If the Update primitive does not address the ***execEnable*** attribute of the <mgmtCmd>, it results in update of all or part of the information of an existing <mgmtCmd> resource with the new attribute values. The procedure uses the common Update operations detailed in clause 7.3, without primitive specific actions.

The Originator shall use the steps Orig-U-1.0, Orig-U-2.0, and Orig-U-3.0 as described in clause 7.3. The Receiver shall use the steps Rcv-U-1.0 to Rcv-U-11.0 as described in clause 7.3.

If the Originator attempts to update attributes ***execTarget***, ***execMode***, but the <mgmtCmd> has child resource <execInstance> already created, the Receiver shall generate a ***Response Status Code*** indicating "CONTENTS\_UNACCEPTABLE" error.

If the Update primitive for <mgmtCmd> does address the ***execEnable*** attribute of the <mgmtCmd>, it effectively triggers an Execute <mgmtCmd> procedure, see clause 7.4.16.2.3.2.

### -----------------------End of change 1---------------------------------------------
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