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## Introduction

This contribution provides parameter data type mappings between oneM2M and 3GPP for Configuration of NIDD, MT NIDD and MO NIDD request response messages . The mappings are w.r.t the T8 interface APIs(3gpp 29.122) defined for NIDD procedures.

### -----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

B.xx. Non-IP Data Delivery

B.xx.1. Introduction

The 3GPP T8 interface supports NIDD functionality as defined by TS 23.682 [15]. The protocol specification for the T8 interface is described in 3GPP TS 29.122 [45]. Additional details are provided in clause 7.12 of TS-0026[44]. An IN-CSE may use the T8 interface API to exchange oneM2M request and response primitives with an ADN-AE, MN-CSE or ASN-CSE hosted on a UE

B.xx.2. NIDD Configuration Request/Response

The IN-CSE requests a SCEF Configuration for NIDD via sending a message to a 3GPP SCEF to inform it that it expects Non-IP Data exchange to/from the UE hosting an ADN-AE, MN-CSE or ASN-CSE. The following table provides parameter data type mappings between oneM2M and 3GPP.

Table B.xx.2-1: NIDD Configuration Mapping

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **3GPP parameter** | **oneM2M attribute/dataType** | **References and notes** |
| scsAsId | m2m:ID | Identifier of the SCS/AS.  Pre-provisioned to IN-CSE. |
| tltrId | xs:positiveInteger | Long term transaction identifier.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning. |
| ttrId | xs:positiveInteger | Short-term transaction identifier to correlate request and response.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning. |
| self | xs:anyURI | Assigned by SCEF and returned in NIDD Configuration response. |
| externalId | m2m:externalID | Configured by IN-CSE with the M2M-Ext-ID of the UE for which the NIDD configuration is carried out. |
| msisdn | - | Not currently used by IN-CSE |
| duration | m2m:timestamp | This parameter is configured with the absolute time at which the NIDD Configuration is considered to expire.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning. |
| reliableDataService | xs:boolean | This parameter may be included to Indicate if a reliable data service acknowledgement is enabled or not. Also present in the NIDD configuration response indicating if the reliable data service is enabled within the underlying 3GPP network.  Within a NIDD Configuration Request this parameter is assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning. Within a NIDD Configuration Request this parameter is assigned by SCEF. |
| pdnEstablishmentOption | xs:positiveInteger | Indicates SCEF of the preferred option if the UE has not established the PDN connection and a MT non-IP data needs to be sent.  Permitted Values:  WAIT\_FOR\_UE,  INDICATE\_ERROR  SEND\_TRIGGER  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning |
| notificationDestination | xs:anyURI | Set to a URI of the IN-CSE to which the SCEF should forward the NIDD MO requests to.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning |
| maximumPacketSize | xs:positiveInteger | This shall be present in the NIDD configuration response message from SCEF to IN-CSE. Indicates the maximum NIDD packet size allowed. |
| status | xs:positiveInteger | This may be present in the NIDD configuration response message from SCEF to IN-CSE. Indicates the status of the NIDD configuration request.  Permitted values:  ACTIVE  TERMINATED\_UE\_NOT\_AUTHORIZED  TERMINATED |

Editor’s Note: There are discrepancies between TS 23.682 [15], TS-0026 [44] and TS 29.122 [45] for the NIDD Configuration parameters such as Requested Action, naming conventions and data types which needs to be resolved.

B.xx.3. NIDD MT Request/Response

The IN-CSE uses the T8 interface to send non-IP data to a UE hosting an ADN-AE, MN-CSE or ASN-CSE. The following table provides parameter data type mappings between oneM2M and 3GPP.

Table B.xx.3-1: NIDD MT Mapping

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **3GPP parameter** | **oneM2M attribute/dataType** | **References and notes** |
| scsAsId | m2m:ID | Identifier of the SCS/AS.  Pre-provisioned to IN-CSE. |
| tltrId | xs:positiveInteger | Long term transaction identifier.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning. |
| ttrId | xs:positiveInteger | Short-term transaction identifier to correlate request and response.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning. |
| self | xs:anyURI | Assigned by SCEF and returned in NIDD response. |
| externalId | m2m:externalID | Configured by IN-CSE with the M2M-Ext-ID of the UE for which the NIDD configuration is carried out. |
| msisdn | - | Not currently used by IN-CSE |
| data | xs:anySimpleType | Non-IP data that needs to be delivered to the UE. This parameter shall contain the request or response primitive to be sent to the ADN-AE, ASN or MN-CSE hosted on the UE. |
| reliableDataService | xs:boolean | This parameter may be included to Indicate if a reliable data service acknowledgement is enabled or not.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning. |
| pdnEstablishmentOption | xs:positiveInteger | Indicates to SCEF of the preferred option if the UE has not established the PDN connection and a MT non-IP data needs to be sent.  Permitted Values:  WAIT\_FOR\_UE,  INDICATE\_ERROR  SEND\_TRIGGER  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning |
| maximumLatency | xs:positiveInteger | Indicates the maximum delay acceptable for the MT data. IN-CSE may derive this setting from the request Expiration Time if provided in the request else will be assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning |
| priority | xs:positiveInteger | Inidicates the priority of the non-IP data to be sent.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning |
| deliveryStatus | xs:positiveInteger | This may be present in the NIDD MT response message from SCEF to IN-CSE. Indicates the status of the NIDD downlink data delivery  Permitted values:  SUCCESS  SUCCESS\_NEXT\_HOP\_ACKNOWLEDGED  SUCCESS\_NEXT\_HOP\_UNACKNOWLEDGED  SUCCESS\_ACKNOWLEDGED  SUCCESS\_UNACKNOWLEDGED  TRIGGERING  BUFFERING  BUFFERING\_TEMPORARILY\_NOT\_REACHABLE  SENDING  STOPPED\_BUT\_TRIGGERING  FAILURE  FAILURE\_QUOTA\_EXCEEDED  FAILURE\_RATE\_EXCEEDED  FAILURE\_DATA TOO\_LARGE  FAILURE\_TEMORARILY\_NOT\_REACHABLE  FAILURE\_NEXT\_HOP  FAILURE\_TIMEOUT |
| requestedRetransmissionTime | - | Not currently used by IN-CSE |

Editor’s Note: There is a discrepancy between TS 23.682 [15], TS-0026 [44] and TS 29.122 [45] for the NIDD MT parameters such as BufferedIndication, TriggerIndication,Hop-by-Hop Acknowledgment Indication and data types which needs to be resolved.

B.xx.4. NIDD MO Request/Response

Non-IP data from a UE hosting ADN-AE, MN-CSE or ASN-CSE is received at the IN-CSE via SCEF. SCEF sends a notification to the IN-CSE to indicate NIDD uplink data delivery. The following table provides parameter data type mappings between oneM2M and 3GPP.

Table B.xx.4-1: NIDD MO Mapping

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **3GPP parameter** | **oneM2M attribute/dataType** | **References and notes** |
| tltrId | xs:positiveInteger | Long term transaction identifier.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning. |
| ttrId | xs:positiveInteger | Short-term transaction identifier to correlate request and response.  Assigned by IN-CSE based on internal policies/pre-provisioning. |
| externalId | m2m:externalID | Configured by IN-CSE with the M2M-Ext-ID of the UE for which the NIDD configuration is carried out. |
| msisdn | - | Not currently used by IN-CSE |
| data | xs:anySimpleType | Non-IP data received from the UE. This parameter shall contain the request or response primitive to be processed by the IN-CSE. |
| reliableDataService | xs:boolean | This parameter may be included to Indicate if a reliable data service acknowledgement is enabled or not. |

Editor’s Note: There is a discrepancy between TS 23.682 [15], TS-0026 [44] and TS 29.122 [45] for the NIDD MO parameters such as data types which needs to be resolved.

### -----------------------End of change 1 --------------------------------------------
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