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## Introduction

To accommodate different regulations, it is necessary to support different elliptic curves, in addition to the exiting ones.
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#### 8.5.3.3 Signature-Only ESData Security Class Protocol Details

To maintain consistency, signature types are provided which are available in both XML-Signature [52] and JSON Web Signature (JWS) [51].

* HMAC using SHA-256, SHA-384 or SHA-512.
* RSA signature using PKCS1-v1.5 and MGF1with SHA-256, SHA-384 or SHA-512.
* ECDSA signature using P-256, P-384 or P-512 with SHA-256, SHA-284 or SHA-512 respectively.
* ECDSA signature using FRP256v1 and brainpoolP256r1 [74] with with SHA-256.

Table 8.5.3.3-1 identifies the algorithms that are supported in XML-SIG for Signature-only ESData Security Class.

Table 8.5.3.3-1: Algorithms that are supported in XML-Signature for
Signature-only ESData Security Class

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Signature Type | Algorithm | <SignatureMethod Algorithm=".."> |
| HMAC | SHA-256 | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#hmacsha256 |
| SHA-384 | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#hmacsha384 |
| SHA-512 | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#hmacsha512 |
| RSA | RSA PKCS1-v1.5 and MGF1 with: | SHA-256 | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#rsasha256 |
| SHA-384 | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#rsasha384 |
| SHA-512 | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#rsasha512 |
| ECDSA | P-256 and SHA-256 | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#ecdsasha256 |
| P-384and SHA-384 | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#ecdsasha384 |
| P-512 and SHA-512 | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#ecdsasha512 |
| FRP256v1 and SHA-256 | See |75] |
| brainpoolP256r1 and SHA-256 | See [76] |

The XML-Signature object may be transported "plain" - with no encoding, or may be encoded in base64.

Table 8.5.3.3-2 identifies the algorithms that are supported in JWS for Signature-only ESData Security Class.

Table 8.5.3.3-2: Algorithms that are supported in JSON Web Signature (JWS) for
Signature-only ESData Security Class

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Signature Type | Algorithm | "alg":".." |
| HMAC | SHA-256 | HS256 |
| SHA-384 | HS384 |
| SHA-512 | HS512 |
| RSA | RSA PKCS1-v1.5 and MGF1 with: | SHA-256 | RS256 |
| SHA-384 | RS384 |
| SHA-512 | RS512 |
| ECDSA | P-256 and SHA-256 | ES256 |
| P-384and SHA-384 | ES384 |
| P-512 and SHA-512 | ES512 |

The output generated by JWS conforms to either the JWS JSON Serialization or a URI-safe JWS Compact Serialization. The JWS JSON Serialization may be transported "plain" – with no encoding, or may be encoded in base64. oneM2M TS-0004 [4] defines the datatype m2m:e2eCompactJWS for the JWS Compact Serialization.
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