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## Introduction

This solution addresses aspects of the Key Issue 5 on cross-Resource subscription in TR-0026. This contribution is introducing new functionalities on cross-Resource subscription such as:

1. Cross-notification only when expected changes occur in sequence
2. Independent expiration counter for <crossResourcesubsciption>
3. expiration counter update in target resource while creating cross resource subscription

-----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------



## 10.XX Solution XX: Time Compensation

### 10.XX.1 Solution Description

This solution addresses the Key Issue 5 – cross Resource subscription by proposing three new functionalities within <crossResourcesubscription>. .

* **Use of <*cross-notification*> only when expected changes occur in sequence that refers to the order in which expected events happened.**

The Hosting CSE is now defined to generate a cross-resource notifications only when expected changes occur on a designated number of target resources concurrently within a time window. But there is a case where the subscriber wants to get a cross-resource notifications only when expected changes occur in a given sequence.

But, because current cross-resource notification is generated regardless of the sequence, the recevicer should check on whether the cross-resource notification is meaningful or not based on sequence information (the sequence of eventNotificationCriteria of regularResourcesAsTarget and subscriptionResourceAsTarget). This might be burden to the receiver (application). This burden can be relieved by having sequence information of eventNotificationCriteria of <*crossResourcesubsciption*>.

* **Independent expiration counter for <*crossResourcesubsciption*>**

The <*subscription*> resource has expirationCounter attribute as a deleting policy. Similarly, the cross-resource subscriber wants to have independent deleting policy for <*crossResourceSubsciption*>. In this regard, it needs to define expirationCounter for <*crossResourceSubsciption*>

* **Update expiration counter in target resource while creating cross resource subscription**

The <*crossResourcesubsciption*> resource is related to a set of target resources which could be existing *<subscription>* and/or other subscribable oneM2M resources. If a target <*subscription*> resource is deleted by reaching *expirationCounter*, the <*crossResourcesubsciption*> shall be deleted accordingly. But while creating new <*crossResourcesubsciption*> resource, if the current number of notification of the target <*subscription*> almost reach into expirationCounter, the <*crossResourcesubsciption*> resource can be deleted a short time later after creating the <*crossResourcesubsciption*> resourece, which is not situation that the cross-resource subscriber expects. For example, if the expirationCounter for target subscription is updated by adding *expirationCounter* of new <*crossResourcesubsciption*>, above mentioned problem can not be happened. In this regard, a new attribute called *expirationCounterupdatepolicy* be defined.

### 10.XX.2 Solution Applicability

This solution applies to Key Issue 5.

### 10.XX.3 Solution Details

### 10.XX.3.1 Overview

To implement this solution, <*crossResourceSubscription*> resource needs to be updated as follows:

* eventNotificationCriteriaSet supports new functionality regarding sequence
* new attributes such as expirationCounter and expirationCouter update policy

### 10.XX.3.2 Modified attribute

*The eventNotificationCriteriaSet has new functionality regarding sequence, therefore cross notifications can appear only when the given sequences are satisfied. (see* Table 10.XX.3-2)

Table 10.XX.3-2: Modified attribute of <*crossResourceSubscription*> resource

| Attributes | Multiplicity | RW/  RO/  WO | Description |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *eventNotificationCriteriaSet* | 0..1(L) | RW | This attribute lists *eventNotificationCriteria* for each regular target resource as indicated in *regularResourcesAsTarget* attribute and involved in a cross-resource subscription. If there is only one *eventNotificationCriteria* contained in this attribute, it shall be applied to all target resources as indicated by *regularResourcesAsTarget* attribute. If only *subscriptionResourcesAsTarget* attribute appears (i.e. no *regularResourcesAsTarget* attribute), *eventNotificationCriteriaSet* shall not be needed. This attribute may include an indiation of a sequence of *eventNotificationCriteria*. In this case, the specified *eventNotificationCriteria* should be satisfied in the given sequence so that the subscriber can get a notification only when mutiple *eventNotificaionCriteria* are met in the specified sequence.  See clause 9.6.8 for the description of *eventNotificationCriteria*. |

### 10.XX.3.3 New attribute

The <crossResourcesubsciption> has its own deleting policy by introducing new attribute expirationCounter and update policy while creating <crossResourcesubsciption> in order to avoid unwanted deletion.

Table 10.XX.3-3: New attribute of <crossResourceSubscription> resource

| Attributes | Multiplicity | RW/  RO/  WO | Description |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *expirationCounter* | 0..1 | RW | This attribute indicates that the subscriber wants to set the life of this crossResourcesubscription to a limited number of maximum notifications. When the number of sent out notifications reaches the value of this counter, the *<crossResourcesubscription>* resource shall be deleted, regardless of any other policy. |
| *expirationCounterUpdatePolicy* | 0..1 | RW | This attribute indicates update policy on expirationCounter of the target subscription. The possible values for *expirationCounterUpdatePolicy* are :   * “NoUpdate” * “AddUpdate”   “AddUpdate” indicates that expirationCounter of <*crossResourceSubscription*> resource is added to expirationCounter of target <*subscription*>. |

-----------------------End of change 1-------------------------------------------
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