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## Introduction

This solution addresses aspects of the Key Issue on Time Synchronization in TR-0026. This contribution is expanding further on the proposed time synchronization solution involving the definition of new request and response parameters that has been accepted into TR-0026.

R01

* Investigate if it makes sense to merge Ping and Current Time parameters
* Investigate whether a new oneM2M primitive, Response Type, and/or Result Content value should be defined to optimize Ping request/response handling and improve the accuracy of transit time calculations.
* Remove "local"

R02

* Consider re-using existing OET parameter instead of defining a new parameter.

-----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------



## Solution I: Time Synchronization using request and response parameters

### Solution Description

This solution addresses the time synchronization Key Issue 7.

In this proposal, the ***Originating Timestamp*** message parameter is used to advertise as well as compare the current times of a CSE and the entities that communicate with it. The ***Originating Timestamp*** parameter can be used to share current times, compute time offsets, and adjust/correct times such that they remain synchronized with one another. The ***Originating Timestamp*** message parameter can be included in requests as well as in response messages originated by the CSE or the entities that communicate with it.

In this solution a Service Layer Ping message is also proposed, enabling the calculation of network latency for time compensation.

### Solution Applicability

This solution applies to Key Issue 7.

### Solution Details







When an entity creates a <*timeSynchBeacon*> resource on a Hosting CSE and configures the *timeSynchBeaconCriteria* attribute with a value of “Loss of Synchronization”, the entity is requesting that the Hosting CSE monitor the offset between the current time of the entity and the current time of the Hosting CSE to detect if/when there is a delta that exceeds a certain threshold. To perform this monitoring, the entity must provide its current time on a regular basis to the <*timeSynchBeacon*> resource Hosting CSE. To facilitate this exchange, the ***Originating Timestamp*** request and response parameters can be used.

Note based on deployment and implementation use case requirements, the ***Originating Timestamp*** message parameter can be included in all request and response messages originated by an entity targeted towards a <*timeSynchBeacon*> resource Hosting CSE, or only in select messages (e.g. one every n seconds or one in every n messages). This allows providing adequate information for maintaining proper synchronization without introducing unnecessary overhead.

Note, when an entity creates a <*timeSynchBeacon*> resource and configures the *timeSynchBeaconCriteria* attribute with a value of “Periodic”, the exchange of current timing information and the use of ***Originating Timestamp*** is not required.

When an entity configures a <*timeSynchBeacon*> resource with the *timeSynchBeaconCriteria* attribute set to a value of “Loss of Synchronization”, the Hosting CSE can optionally compute the transit time (i.e. network latency) of messages that flow between itself and the entity. The Hosting CSE can take this time into account when calculating the offset between the current time of the entity and the current time of the Hosting CSE. This enables the Hosting CSE to calculate the offset with added precision. When receiving messages from the entity with the ***Originating Timestamp*** parameter configured, the Hosting CSE can first adjust this value by the transit time before comparing the value against its own current time and computing an offset.

To compute the transit time between the entity and the <*timeSynchBeacon*> resource Hosting CSE, a new Ping (P)operation can be defined in addition to the existing Create (C), Retrieve (R), Update (U), Delete (D), Notify (N) operations. The <*timeSynchBeacon*> resource Hosting CSE can use the Ping operation along with a ***Originating Timestamp*** parameter within requests that it targets towards the *pointOfAccess* of an entity that created the <*timeSynchBeacon*> resource. When the entity receives the Ping request and returns a Ping response, the entity can echo back the value of the ***Originating Timestamp*** parameter of the Ping request in a ***Originating Timestamp*** parameter within the Ping response. Upon receiving the Ping response, the <*timeSynchBeacon*> resource Hosting CSE can compute the round-trip transit time by substracting the value contained in the ***Originating Timestamp*** parameter of the Ping response from the time when it received the Ping response message.







Editor’s Note: It is FFS whether targeting a Ping request towards the pointOfAccess of an entity is optimal or whether defining a new pingURI is required.

-----------------------End of change 1-------------------------------------------

-----------------------Start of change 2 -------------------------------------------

The following clauses are duplicates of 10.8 and 10.9 and need to be removed from TR-0026.



-----------------------End of change 2-------------------------------------------
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