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## Introduction

### Per PRO-2018-0269R01, Bob to follow-up and consider the time out scenario in TS-0004 clause 7.5.1.2.19

Change 1 shows the content agree at SDS 39.

A timeout scenario is possible because a primitive is blocked from execution until a “notificationURI” responds to a NOTIFY request. I will consider a response that never arrives or a response that arrives after the “timeout” event.

PRO-2018-0247-Redirection\_Error\_Response\_R3 definess error codes and procedures for this very case. This was noted at TP 38 when it should have been left “draft”.

It is resubmitteded in this contribution below.

This contribution defines the response status code for redirection response class as mentioned in oneM2M-TS-0004-V3.7.0 Table 6.6.3.4‑1.

When a primitive received by a transit CSE or a host CSE that has a blocking action, such as the “blocking Update” subscription *notificationEventType* used for IPEs, an error that results from the endpoint not responding properly should be differentiated from an error in the transit/host CSE.

For example, if an IPE represents a NoDN, when accessing the NoDN a primitive is sent to the CSE -> IPE -> NoDN. If the CSE cannot send a message to the IPE, TARGET\_NOT\_REACHABLE, is appropriate and indicates that the first hop from the receiver CSE was not reachable. However, if the IPE responds with an error that indicates that the NoDN was not reachable, then a different RSC is justified. This allows us to better identify the source of the error.

### -----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

### 6.6.2 RSC framework overview

The RSCs are categorized as one of 6 classes:

Table 6.6.2‑1: Definition of Response Status Code class

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Status Class** | **Code Class** | **Interpretation** |
| Informational | 1xxx | The request is successfully received, but the request is still in progress. |
| Success | 2xxx | The request is successfully received, understood, and accepted. |
| Redirection | 3xxx | Redirected request scenarios such as blocking subscription. |
| Originator Error | 4xxx | The request was malformed by the Originator and, is rejected. |
| Receiver Error | 5xxx | The requested operation cannot be performed due to an error condition at the Receiver CSE. |
| Network Service Error | 6xxx | The requested operation cannot be performed due to an error condition at the Network Service Entity. |

### -----------------------End of change 1---------------------------------------------

### -----------------------Start of change 2-------------------------------------------

* + - 1. Redirection response class

Table 6.6.3.4‑1 specifies the RSCs for redirected requests.

**Table 6.6.3.4‑1: RSCs for redirection response class**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Numeric Code** | **Description** |
| 3000 | INTERNAL\_SERVER\_ERROR |
| 3008 | REQUEST\_TIMEOUT |
| 3103 | TARGET\_NOT\_REACHABLE |
|  |  |

### -----------------------End of change 2---------------------------------------------

### -----------------------Start of change 3-------------------------------------------

* + - 1. Redirected Request Failure Handling

Whenever oneM2M AE triggers the update operation on resources which have blocking subscription, the Receiver CSE redirects the request to the “***notificationURI****”.*

If the response is received from the “***notificationURI****”*, but the notification receiver could not connect to the Non-oneM2M Device Nodes (NoDNs), the Receiver CSE returns an error response with ***Response status code*** indicating redirection error “TARGET\_NOT\_REACHABLE ” to the originator as defined in Table 6.6.3.4-1

If the response is received from the “***notificationURI****”*, but update operation failed at Non-oneM2M Device Nodes (NoDNs), the Receiver CSE returns an error response with ***Response status code*** indicating redirection error “INTERNAL\_SERVER\_ERROR” to the originator as defined in Table 6.6.3.4-1

If the response is not received, the Receiver CSE returns an error response with ***Response status code*** indicating redirection error “REQUEST\_TIMEOUT” to the originator as defined in Table 6.6.3.4-1

### -----------------------End of change 3---------------------------------------------
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