|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | oneM2M Partner 1 | oneM2M Partner 2 | oneM2M Members | One M2M Associate Members |  |
| **Steering** | Attend to Steering Committee | Y | Y | Y | N |  |
| Ability to make Contributions in Steering Committee | Y | Y | N | N |  |
| Voting Rights in Steering Committee†7 | Y | Y | N | N |  |
| **Work** | Attend in Technical Groups | Y | Y | Y | Y†11 |  |
|  | Ability to make Technical Contributions | N | Y†10 | Y | N †4 |  |
|  | Voting Rights in Technical Groups†8 | N | Y†10 | Y | N |  |
| **IPR** | Have a compatible IPR Policy or Agree to be bound by a compatible IPR policy†12 | Y | Y†10 | n.a. | N |  |
| **Transpose** | Requirement to stop overlapping work | Y†6 | N | N | N |  |
|  | Requirement to transfer work | Y†6 | N | N | N |  |
|  | Ownership of copyright of results | Y | N | N | N |  |
|  | Requirement to transpose/publish | Y †1 | N†2 | N | N |  |
| **Fund** | Provision of financial resources to run operations | Y | Y | Y †3 | Y †5 |  |
| **Affiliation** | Participate via a membership in or affiliation with Partner 1 †9 | n.a | n.a | Y | n.a |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Legend**

The following categories include both telecom and non telecom centric SDOs/Organization/Companies:

OneM2M Partner type1: Publishing Partner -- SDOs and Organizations allowing direct participation to their members

OneM2M Partner type2: Adopting Partner – SDOs and Organizations interested to contribute in a consolidated way but NOT allowing direct participation to their members (e.g. Associations, Consortiums)

OneM2M Members: members of Partner1 and Organizations/Companies without being member of Partner1

OneM2M Associated Members: Governmental Agencies (e.g. regulation authorities), Organizations and Companies interest to monitor only (e.g. market representative partners)

|  |
| --- |
| **NOTES**  †1  Unless published by another SDO in the same jurisdiction  †2  May transpose/publish  †3  Funding is through oneM2M Partner 1  †4  Associated members may provide regulatory related clarifications about requirements, but technical contribution on technical solutions can not be posted/discussed.  †5  One M2M Associate Members funding will be reduced compared with oneM2M Partner 1 or 2, regulatory bodies will not be required to provide funding  †6 Organizations may specifically disclose work that they will continue and the decision to admit as a Partner would be based in part on that disclosure. An example of such work is the maintenance of existing publications. No work with direct overlapping can be included.  †77 Voting rules inside the steering committee need to be defined in the working procedures, One vote per Partner is the expected the rule.  †8 Voting rules inside a technical group need to be defined in the working procedures. Voting right shall depend on the active participation to the group, determined by the regular physical attendance to the meetings (e.g. 3 meetings over the last 4, including the one where the vote will happen). It remains to be clarified if the right will be one vote per company or one vote per company per SDO.  †9 Each Partner1 can grant to a specific company the status of oneM2M Members, but has to assure that such company agree to be bound by a proper IPR policy, and depending from the cost sharing model, such partner1 may be requested to participate with an higher share. The exact nature of the relation between Partner1 and the company (affiliation, membership, fees) is an internal decision of each Parner1. The concept of affiliation requires a check from the current SDOs legal experts to find a proper definition.  †10 The legal aspect of IPR in consolidated contribution from an organization requires some further exploration to cope with issue of recourse for IPR. This issue needs to be solved before the oneM2M establishment.  †11 The right to attend to a group includes, the possibility (under chairman permissions), to present informational material and to answer to potential related questions raised by the participants. Associated members are not allowed to participate neither to the group discussion or decisions.  †12 What a "compatible" IPR policy means require a check from the current SDOs legal experts to find a common definition of "compatible".  **Additional aspects:**   1. Shall any SDO aspiring to be a oneM2M Partner 1 be eligible to apply for that category? Yes 2. How do we decide who is eligible to be a Partner/1, Partner 2, Member or Associated Member in this initiative? The SDO/Organization/Company makes determination based on commitment to criteria and obligations.  Additionally the request to participate as Partner1or Partner 2 shall imply a full endorsement of the oneM2M rules, scopes and technical documentation at time of the subscription. 3. At what point should verticals be brought into the discussions (pre or post creation)? During the formulation process the fixing of the scope, principle and rules 4. A set of liaison relationship will need to be set with different organizations this will require the definition of a process in the oneM2M working procedures. Any of above participation category does not apply to organizations that establish liaison relationship with oneM2M. 5. The Steering Committee shall not take part to technical discussions. The Work Items and the work programs shall be in the full responsibility of the technical plenary. Changes to scope and procedures and founding aspects shall be in the responsibility of the Steering Committee. |