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Check spelling and grammar to the extent practicable.
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## Introduction

Missed corrections for P2413 from ARC-2015-1706 agreed in TP15.

* Section 5.2.2.5: Ia reference point is the la (L) reference point. Also these are not reference points but interfaces.

Missed corrections for P2413 from ARC-2015-1705 agreed in TP15.

* Section 6.2.4.1.1: Ia reference point is the la (L) reference point. Diagram wasn’t updated with the corrected Mcc endpoint to the CSF

This contribution resolves this correcting the text in each of the sections.

### -----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

#### 5.2.2.5 Other Reference Points and Interfaces

* See clause 12.2.1 for Mch reference point
* See clause 6.2.4 for Mc, Mp, Ms and La device management interfaces

### -----------------------End of change 1---------------------------------------------

### -----------------------Start of change 2-------------------------------------------

##### 6.2.4.1.1 Device Management Architecture

In order to manage the CSE and device capabilities of the MNs, ASNs and ADNs, the DMG can utilize existing device management technologies (e.g. TR‑069 [i.4], OMA-DM [i.5], and LWM2M [i.6]) in addition to management of Management Resources across the Mcc reference point. When the device management technology is used to manage the MN, ASN or ADN, the DMG of the IN translates or adapts the management related requests from other CSEs or from AEs to the device management commands of the corresponding device management technology.

In order to perform the translation and adaptation functions, the DMG has a functional component termed the Management Adapter (figure 6.2.4.1.1-1). The Management Adapter in the DMG of the IN (IN-DMG-MA) performs the adaptation between the DMG and Management Servers using the **ms** interface; while the Management Adapter in the DMG of the MN (MN-DMG-MA) and ASN (ASN-DMG-MA) performs translation and adaptation between the DMG and the Management Client using the **la** interface. Only one Management Adapter is shown in the DMG although it can interact with Management Server using different management technologies.

The interface between Management Server and Management Client (figure 6.2.4.1.1-1) is the **mc** interface which is subject to the device management technology that is used (e.g. TR-069 [i.4] or LWM2M [i.6]). The **mc** interface is technology dependent and is outside the scope of the present document.

The DMG in the CSE of the MN has the same functionality as the DMG in the CSE of the ASN. In addition, the DMG in the MN can be used to manage devices in the M2M Area Network. In this case, the DMG is deployed with proxy functionality that interacts with the Proxy Management Client using the **mp** interface. The **mp** interface is technology dependent and is outside the scope of the present document.

The Management Server and Management Client can be implemented as an entity external to the Node or they can be implemented as an entity embedded within the Node (figure 6.2.4.1.1-1). The Management Server and the Management Client are located on the boundary of the Node to indicate this situation as well as to depict that an IN can utilize multiple Management Servers from various M2M and Network Service Providers.



Figure 6.2.4.1.1-1: Device Management Architecture

### -----------------------End of change 2---------------------------------------------
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