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## Introduction

During PRO 36.2 several inconsistencies were identified. They are all editorial in nature.

 **Action:** raise a CR against <backgroundDataTransfer> in TS-0001 (*announcedTo* should be *creator*)

 Change 1

 **Action**: raise CR against <timeSeries> and <timeSeriesAnnc> in TS-0001 to add <semanticDescriptor> or <semanticDescriptorAnnc> child resources

Table 9.6.36-1: Child resources of <timeSeries> resource shows <semanticDescriptor>

 **Action**: raise CR against <AEContactList> in TS-0001 to add <transaction> child resource

Change 2

### -----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

### 9.6.60 Resource Type *backgroundDataTransfer*

The <*backgroundDataTransfer*> resource is used to request that the IN-CSE negotiates a background data transfer for a set of field nodes, with the Underlying Network. The resource attributes provide the characteristics of the background data transfer, optional guidance for transfer policy selection and the field nodes involved with the data transfer.

The *<backgroundDataTransfer>* resource contains the child resources specified in table 9.6.60-1.

Table 9.6.60-1: Child resources of *<backgroundDataTransfer>* resource

| **Child Resources of <*backgroundDataTransfer*>** | Child Resource Type | Multiplicity | Description | **<*backgroundDataTransfer*> Child Resource Types** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *[variable]* | *<subscription>* | 0..n | See clause 9.6.8. | <*subscription*> |

The <*backgroundDataTransfer*> resource contains the attributes specified in table 9.6.60-2.

Table 9.6.60-2: Attributes of *<backgroundDataTransfer>* resource

| Attributes of *< backgroundDataTransfer >* | Multiplicity | RW/RO/WO | Description |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *resourceType* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3 |
| *resourceID* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3 |
| *resourceName* | 1 | WO | See clause 9.6.1.3 |
| *parentID* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3 |
| *creationTime* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3  |
| *lastModifiedTime* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3 |
| *expirationTime* | 1 | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3 |
| *accessControlPolicyIDs* | 0..1 (L) | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3 |
| *dynamicAuthorizationConsultationIDs* | 0..1 (L) | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *labels* | 0..1 (L) | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
|  |  |  |  |
| *creator* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3 |
| *volumePerNode* | 1 | WO | Expected data volume for the background data transfer. |
| *numberOfNodes* | 1 | WO | Desired number of nodes for the background data transfer. |
| *desiredTimeWindow* | 0..1 | WO | Desired time window for the background data transfer. |
| *transferSelectionGuidance* | 0..1(L) | WO | List that includes guidance to IN-CSE in selecting from multiple transfer policies provided by underlying network. Possible values include:” lowest cost”, “highest throughput given maximum cost of X”, etc.If not included, the IN-CSE may independently choose from among multiple transfer policies. |
| *geographicInformation* | 0..1 | WO | Provides geographic information for the policy request |
| *groupLink* | 0..1 | RW | This attribute shall be used if the background data transfer is requested for sending a request to a group of field domain nodes. It is assumed that a <*group*> resource, with a *memberIDs* list including all field domain nodes that need to be reached, has already been created. This attribute contains the *resource identifier* of the *<group>* resource of field domain nodes for which the background data transfer applies. The *backgroundDataTransfer* resource may have either a *groupLink* attribute or a list of *memberIDs.* If the *memberIDs* attribute contains a valid list of member resource IDs, the *groupLink* attribute shall be ignored. |
| *memberIDs* | 0..1 (L) | RW | List of member resource IDs for which the transfer policy applies. The valid resource types are <remoteCSE> and <AE>.The *backgroundDataTransfer* resource may have either a *groupLink* attribute or a list of *memberIDs.* If the *memberIDs* attribute contains a valid list of member resource IDs, the *groupLink* attribute shall be ignored. |

### -----------------------End of change 1 --------------------------------------------

### -----------------------Start of change 2-------------------------------------------

### 9.6.45 Resource Type *AEContactList*

An *<AEContactList>* resource shall contain *<AEContactListPerCSE>* child resources, one for each CSE that has sent a NOTIFY request to the CSE about the creation, update, or deletion of a resource that references an Application Entity resource identifier. The <*AEContactList*> resource shall only be created as a child of <*CSEBase*> in the IN-CSE.

The *<AEContactList>* resource shall contain the child resources specified in table 9.6. 45-2.

Table 9.6. 45-1: Child resources of *<AEContactList>* resource

| Child Resources of *<AEContactList>* | Child Resource Type | Multiplicity | Description |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *[variable]* | *<subscription>* | 0..n | See clause 9.6.8 |
| *[variable]* | *<AEContactListPerCSE>* | 0..n | See clause 9.6.46 |
| *[variable]* | *<transaction>* | 0..n | See clause 9.6.48 |

The *<AEContactList>* resource shall contain the attributes specified in table 9.6.45-3.

Table 9.6.45-2: Attributes of *<AEContactList>* resource

| Attributes of *< AEContactList >* | Multiplicity | RW/RO/WO | Description |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *resourceType* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *resourceID* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *resourceName* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *parentID* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *expirationTime* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *accessControlPolicyIDs* | 0..1 (L) | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *dynamicAuthorizationConsultationIDs* | 0..1 (L) | RW | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *creationTime* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *lastModifiedTime* | 1 | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *labels* | 0..1 (L) | RO | See clause 9.6.1.3. |
| *numberImpactedCSEs* | 1 | RO | The number of Hosting CSEs that have reported that they have a reference to an Application Entity resource identifier |

### -----------------------End of change 2 --------------------------------------------

### -----------------------Start of change 3-------------------------------------------

### -----------------------End of change 3 --------------------------------------------
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