

Victoria Mitchell

From: Richard Brennan <rbrennan@telxxis.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 9:44 PM
To: Gerry Mc Auley; Nicolas Damour
Cc: Nicole Butler; Victoria Gray; Victoria Mitchell; Coordination oneM2M; Jeff West
Subject: Re: OneM2M naming convention for meetings

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

All

We -may- need to decide a formal meeting numbering convention in M&P+MoW...
Here are my thoughts:

1) Only "Ordinary" meetings would have integer numbers:
SC#12, TP#6, ARC#29, MARCOM#10, etc...

2) Ad-hocs have a suffix added to the previous Ordinary meeting number
Possible schemas:
a) ARC#32a, ARC#32b, ARC#32c, etc...
b) ARC#32bis, ARC#32ter, etc...
c) ARC#32ah1, ARC#32ah2, ARC#32ah3,

3) Drafting and Rapporteur sessions (without formal Chair/Agenda/Minutes)
would just be named "Group-Purpose" i.e. "PRO-drafting" or "ARC-Rapporteur"

Frankly, I'm also in favor of losing the "#" completely!

Richard Brennan
Telxxis LLC (TIA)

On 7/9/2013 1:41 PM, Gerry Mc Auley wrote:

Hello,

There are no hard and fast rules about how the title appears on the portal. BUT, there is a hard and fast rule in the working procedures that states that "TP and WG Meetings are classified and announced as either ordinary or ad-hoc".I

t does not specify how, but by putting it in the meeting title on the portal, it is very clear and un-ambiguous.

I think we should consider adopting this approach as the standard until we have hard and fast rules from M&P/MoW

