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## Introduction

Notification Response -> InterOp #5 issue.

### -----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

##### Subscription Verification during Subscription Creation

***Originator****:*

When the Originator is triggered to perform subscription verification (clause 7.4.8.2.1) during <subscription> creation procedure, it performs the following steps in order.

1. Set the *verificationRequest* element of the notification data object as TRUE in the Notify request primitive.
2. Set the *creator* element of the notification data object as the Originator ID of the <subscription> creation in the primitive.
3. Set the ***to*** parameter as *notificationURI* in the primitive. If the *notificationURI* contains more than one value, then set the other value to the duplicated primitives from step 2).
4. Send the Notify request primitive(s).

***Receiver****:*

If the receiver is a Hosting CSE,

1. When the Hosting CSE receives a Notify request primitive which includes ***verificationRequest*** element of the notification data object set as TRUE, the Hosting CSE shall check if the creator and the Originator have NOTIFY privilege to the notificationURI.
2. If the check fails, the Hosting CSE shall return a Response Status Code indicating "SUBSCRIPTION\_CREATOR\_HAS\_NO\_PRIVILEGE" or "SUBSCRIPTION\_HOST\_HAS\_NO\_PRIVILEGE" error, respectively, with the Notify response primitive.
3. Otherwise, it shall return a ***Response Status Code*** indicating “OK”.

If the receiver is not a CSE, it shall return a ***Response Status Code*** indicating “OK”.

### -----------------------End of change 1-------------------------------------------

### -----------------------Start of change 2-------------------------------------------

##### Create

***Originator****:*

No change from the generic procedures in clause 7.2.2.1.

***Receiver****:*

The following are additional Hosting CSE procedures to the generic resource handling procedures (Figure 7.2.2.2‑1 in clause 7.2.2.2). The additional procedures shall be inserted from Recv-6.2 to Recv-6.8 as below.

The resource handling procedure for the Hosting CSE which receives <subscription> CREATE request shall perform the following procedures in order:

1. Recv-6.2
2. Recv-6.3
3. Check if the subscribed-to resource, addressed in ***To*** parameter in the Request, is subscribable. Subscribable resource types are defined in TS-0001 [6], they have <subscription> resource types as their child resources.

If it is not subscribable, the Hosting CSE shall return the Notify response primitive with a ***Response Status Code*** indicating "TARGET\_NOT\_SUBSCRIBABLE" error.

1. Check if the Originator has privileges for retrieving the subscribed-to resource.

If the Originator does not have the privilege, the Hosting CSE shall return the response primitive with ***Response Status Code*** indicating "ORIGINATOR\_HAS\_NO\_PRIVILEGE" error.

1. If the *notificationURI* is not the Originator, the Hosting CSE should send a Notify request primitive to the *notificationURI* with ***verificationRequest*** parameter set as TRUE (See clause7.5.1.2.3).
	1. If the Hosting CSE cannot send the Notify request primitive, the Hosting CSE shall return the Notify response primitive with a ***Response Status Code*** indicating "SUBSCRIPTION\_VERIFICATION\_INITIATION\_FAILED" error.
	2. If the Hosting CSE sent the primitive, the Hosting CSE shall check if the Notify response primitive contains a ***Response Status Code*** indicating “OK”. If not, the Hosting CSE shall return the Create response primitive with a ***Response Status Code*** indicating the same error from the Notify response primitive to the Originator.
2. Recv-6.4
3. Recv-6.5

If the Originator does not provide notificationContentType, the Hosting CSE shall set it as ‘all attributes'.

If the *notificationURI* is not the Originator, the Hosting CSE shall store Originator ID to ***creator*** attribute.

If the batchNotify attribute is present in the Request and the batchNotify/duration is not provided by the Originator, the Hosting CSE shall set the value of batchNotify/duration to the default duration as given by the M2M Service Provider.

1. Recv-6.6
2. Recv-6.7
3. Recv-6.8

### -----------------------End of change 2-------------------------------------------
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