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## Introduction

R01 – fix lines in receiver operations. Add shortname.

Protocol contribution to reflect changes in ARC-2017-0380R01-TS-0001\_NIDD\_Support

*“3GPP Release 13 introduces the ability to send Non-IP data to and from the UE in 3GPP NAS control plane messages. Since no data plane set up is required when sending Non-IP data to / from a UE, this results in optimizations for the both the network and the UE.*

*3GPP Release 15 introduces the SCEF API that supports Non-IP Data Delivery. This API can be used to exchange Non-IP data between an IN-CSE and an MN-CSE, ADN-AE, or ASN-CSE hosted on a UE.*

*This contribution proposes functionality to support the NIDD feature. Specifically the following enhancements are proposed:*

1. *The addition of a niddRequired attribute to the <serviceSubscribedNode> resource to allow an IN-CSE to be provisioned with an indication of whether or not the IN-CSE should perform an NIDD Configuration request to the underlying network for a given UE.*
2. *An optimization to allow an Originator of a request to indicate that a response is not needed from the Receiver of the request. This feature is useful in use cases where an Originator does not care whether the request is successfully performed or not. For example, a IoT sensor that reports periodic sensor readings can use this feature. For NIDD this feature can be useful.”*

Email Comments

1.  The niddRequired bit can be flipped on or off by an Update.  Is there anything special that needs to happen if someone updates it, for example is the CSE required to do a NIDD configuration if the bit is set to true, and the converse (if there is one) when it is set to false? There's no special operation, so is it just a kind of "for info" bit?

A1 – according to TS-0001, table 9.6.20-2 for niddRequired:

*Controls whether the IN-CSE configures the underlying network to enable Non-IP Data Delivery for this node.Valid values are "TRUE" or "FALSE". If not configured, then IN-CSE default policy shall apply. See [15].*  
  
NIDD is a 3GPP term, I am not sure if it applies to any other underlying network. So this attribute would not trigger an operation at the time of the CRUD operation on the attribute, but rather in support of other operations that occur later.

2. Is noResponse something that can be used more generally, i.e. on any request over any protocol?  If so, do we need to update the HTTP binding to say how it is implemented over HTTP?

Q2 – We believe that it can be useful beyond the nidd support that it was specifically added to address. However due to the R3 time constraints, we believe that it is best to restrict this for support of nidd (SCEF) in Release 3 and then address additional bindings in R4.

*If time is short for Rel-3 and we need to freeze stage 3 ASAP, then we can restrict usage of this to Mcn and defer Mca/Mcc to Rel-4.  I think Mca/Mcc support is possible but it will take some work for our existing protocol bindings such as HTTP and CoAP which always includes a oneM2M response.  MQTT is a bit easier I think.  I do see value in supporting this feature for both Mca/Mcc since it can help streamline the oneM2M protocol for “fire and forget” use cases such as periodic sensor reporting.*

If we agree with this, then change 3 and change 4 may be held for R4. And all of the question below get addressed there.

3. Are you allowed to include noResponse on a Retrieve request?  I realise that you can't send an error response back, but you might still want to discourage originators from trying it (e.g. via conformance test).

Q3 – I think this cannot apply to a Retrieve request. CUD seem reasonable though. For example a temp sensor that just pushes temp values periodically and ignores any responses.

Some further ones;   
  
4. Should we disallow values of Result Content other than Nothing if Response Type is noResponse? For example failing a Delete

Q4 – I think that this should only be considered for the SUCCESSFUL responses. If a failure occurs then the CSE should send an appropriate response.  
  
5. What happens if you set Response Type of noResponse and include a Result Expiration Time?

Q5. I think that RET should be ignored if provided with noResponse. Or in the spirit of making the request smaller, issue a bad request message. HOWEVER, we should do this in R4.  
  
6. 7.3.2.8 (Check Hosting CSE of the targeted resource) would apply if Response Type is noResponse.  What would you expect to put in the Response Type of a forwarded request ?

Q6. Based on the above responses, I think we should forward the noResponse. HOWEVER, if an intermediate node forwards this request, then it MAY forget about the request, and therefore be unable to handle a response in the case of an error (as described above). So based on this, I think the receiving CSE should handle this in the following manner: Forward the request with another value. When the response comes back it should drop a successful response and forward any error response. HOWEVER, we should do this in R4.  
  
7. What happens if you set Response Type of noResponse and send the request to a Group?  You haven't proposed any change to 7.4.14.2.5 in this CR.

### -----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

#### 7.4.20.1 Introduction

The <serviceSubscribedNode> resource represents M2M Node information that is needed as part of the M2M Service Subscription resource. It shall contain information about the M2M Node as well as application identifiers of the Applications running on that Node.

The detailed description can be found in clause 9.6.20 in TS-0001 [6].

Table 7.4.20.1‑1: Data type definition of <serviceSubscribedNode> resource

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Data Type ID | File Name | Note |
| serviceSubscribedNode | CDT-serviceSubscribedNode-v3\_5\_0.xsd |  |

Table 7.4.20.1‑2: Universal/Common Attributes of <serviceSubscribedNode> resource

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Attribute Name | Request Optionality | |
| Create | Update |
| @resourceName | O | NP |
| resourceType | NP | NP |
| resourceID | NP | NP |
| parentID | NP | NP |
| expirationTime | O | O |
| accessControlPolicyIDs | O | O |
| creationTime | NP | NP |
| labels | O | O |
| lastModifiedTime | NP | NP |
| *dynamicAuthorizationConsultationIDs* | O | O |

Table 7.4.20.1‑3: Resource Specific Attributes of <serviceSubscribedNode> resource

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Attribute Name | Request Optionality | | Data Type | Default Value and Constraints |
| Create | Update |
| nodeID | M | NP | m2m:nodeID |  |
| CSE-ID | O | NP | m2m:ID |  |
| deviceIdentifier | O | NP | list of m2m:deviceID |  |
| ruleLinks | O | O | list of xs:anyURI |  |
| niddRequired | O | O | xs:boolean | No Default. If not configured, then IN-CSE default policy shall apply. |

Table 7.4.20.1‑4: Child resources of <serviceSubscribedNode> resource

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Child Resource Type | Child Resource Name | Multiplicity | Ref. to Resource Type Definition |
| <subscription> | [variable] | 0..n | 7.4.8 |

### -----------------------End of change 1-------------------------------------------

### -----------------------Start of change 2-------------------------------------------








##### m2m:responseType

Used for ***Response Type*** parameter (as a part of responseTypeInfo, see Clause 6.3.5.29) in request.

Table 6.3.4.2.6‑1: Interpretation of responseType

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Value | Interpretation | Note |
| 1 | nonBlockingRequestSynch |  |
| 2 | nonBlockingRequestAsynch |  |
| 3 | blockingRequest |  |
| 4 | flexBlocking |  |
| 5 | noResponse | This shall only be used for procedures related to 3GPP Interworking defined in TS-0026 [43]. |
| NOTE: See clause 6.4.1 "Request message parameter data types". | | |

### -----------------------End of change 2-------------------------------------------
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