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## Introduction

Informative Annex I is not referred from text and contains disparate former references that were no longer referred to. This introduces confusion for readers.

We propose to delete the annex and reinsert references to potentially useful documents from within the text.

### -----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

## 2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non‑specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the reference document (including any amendments) applies.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

[1] oneM2M TS-0001: "Functional Architecture".

[2] oneM2M TS-0011: "Common Terminology".

[3] Void.

[4] oneM2M TS-0004: "Service Layer Core Protocol Specification".

[5] IETF RFC 5246: "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2".

[6] IETF RFC 6347: "Datagram Transport Layer Security Version 1.2".

[7] ETSI TS 102 225 (V11.0.0): "Smart Cards; Secured packet structure for UICC based applications (Release 11)".

[8] ETSI TS 102 226 (V11.0.0): "Smart Cards; Remote APDU structure for UICC based applications (Release 11)".

[9] 3GPP TS 31.115 (V10.1.0): "Remote APDU Structure for (U)SIM Toolkit applications (Release 10)".

[10] 3GPP TS 31.116 (V10.2.0): "Remote APDU Structure for (Universal) Subscriber Identity Module (U)SIM Toolkit applications (Release 10)".

[11] 3GPP2 C.S0078-0 (V1.0): "Secured packet structure for CDMA Card Application Toolkit (CCAT) applications".

[12] 3GPP2 C.S0079-0 (V1.0): "Remote APDU Structure for CDMA Card Application Toolkit (CCAT) applications".

[13] 3GPP TS 33.220: "Generic Authentication Architecture (GAA); Generic Bootstrapping Architecture (GBA)".

[14] 3GPP2 S.S0109-A: "Generic Bootstrapping Architecture (GBA) Framework".

[15] IETF RFC 4279: "Pre-Shared Key Ciphersuites for Transport Layer Security (TLS)".

[16] Void.

[17] Void.

[18] IETF RFC 5705: "Keying Material Exporters for Transport Layer Security (TLS)".

[19] IETF RFC 3629: "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646".

[20] "Unicode Standard Annex #15; Unicode Normalization Forms", Unicode 5.1.0, March 2008.

NOTE: Available at <http://www.unicode.org>.

[21] GlobalPlatform Device Technology TEE Management framework, Version 1.0.

[…]

### -----------------------End of change 1---------------------------------------------

### -----------------------Start of change 2-------------------------------------------

#### 8.5.3.3 Signature-Only ESData Security Class Protocol Details

To maintain consistency, signature types are provided which are available in both XML-Signature [52] and JSON Web Signature (JWS) [51].

* HMAC using SHA-256, SHA-384 or SHA-512.
* RSA signature using PKCS1-v1.5 and MGF1 with SHA-256, SHA-384 or SHA-512.
* ECDSA signature using P-256, P-384 or P-512 with SHA-256, SHA-284 or SHA-512 respectively.

Table 8.5.3.3-1 identifies the algorithms that are supported in XML-SIG for Signature-only ESData Security Class.

The algorithms applicable to XML Signature 1.1 are defined in clause 6.4 of [52]. XML-Signature algorithms are identified by URIs that appear as an attribute to an XML element which identifies the algorithms' role. For signature algorithms, the XML element is denoted SignatureMethod and the attribute is denoted Algorithm.

The value of the Algorithm attribute identifies the selected signature algorithm. XML Signature 1.1 [52] defines the supported signature algorithms formally in XML syntax as <SignatureMethod Algorithm="identifier"/>, where an identifier is represented as URI which starts with the namespace http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#.

Table 8.5.3.3-1: Algorithms that are supported in XML-Signature for
Signature-only ESData Security Class

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Signature Type | Algorithm | applicable identifiers in <SignatureMethod Algorithm="*identifier*"/> |
| HMAC | SHA-256 | *http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#hmacsha256* |
| SHA-384 | *http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#hmacsha384* |
| SHA-512 | *http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#hmacsha512* |
| RSA | RSA PKCS1-v1.5 and MGF1 with: | SHA-256 | *http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#rsasha256* |
| SHA-384 | *http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#rsasha384* |
| SHA-512 | *http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#rsasha512* |
| ECDSA | P-256 and SHA-256 | *http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#ecdsasha256* |
| P-384 and SHA-384 | *http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#ecdsasha384* |
| P-512 and SHA-512 | *http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsigmore#ecdsasha512* |

The XML-Signature object may be transported "plain" - with no encoding, or may be encoded in base64.

Table 8.5.3.3-2 identifies the algorithms that are supported in JWS for Signature-only ESData Security Class.

Table 8.5.3.3-2: Algorithms that are supported in JSON Web Signature (JWS) for
Signature-only ESData Security Class

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Signature Type | Algorithm | "alg":".." |
| HMAC | SHA-256 | HS256 |
| SHA-384 | HS384 |
| SHA-512 | HS512 |
| RSA | RSA PKCS1-v1.5 and MGF1 with: | SHA-256 | RS256 |
| SHA-384 | RS384 |
| SHA-512 | RS512 |
| ECDSA | P-256 and SHA-256 | ES256 |
| P-384and SHA-384 | ES384 |
| P-512 and SHA-512 | ES512 |

The output generated by JWS conforms to either the JWS JSON Serialization or a URI-safe JWS Compact Serialization. The JWS JSON Serialization may be transported "plain" – with no encoding, or may be encoded in base64. oneM2M TS-0004 [4] defines the datatype m2m:e2eCompactJWS for the JWS Compact Serialization.

### -----------------------End of change 2---------------------------------------------

### -----------------------Start of change 3---------------------------------------------

# C.0 Introduction

The Secure Environment supporting security functions specified by oneM2M provides a level and a type of protection (e .g. integrity protection, confidentiality, tamper resistance) to the information it contains, independently of the method of protection (e.g. UICC, embedded secure element, TEE, etc.). Administration of their content is implementation dependent and relies on existing standards within specific Secure Environment technologies. Some of them are listed below for information.

# C.1 UICC

In case of UICC (SE compliant with ETSI TS 102 671 [23]), OTA mechanisms as specified in [7] and [8], and its extensions [9], [10] for 3GPP underlying networks or [11] and [12] for 3GPP2 underlying networks shall be supported to enable security administration of the sensitive data of the M2M Service Layer. UICC provides the highest protection level 3 against attacks according the Classification of Protection levels table 6.2.1-1 in clause 6.2.1.

# C.2 Other secure element and embedded secure element with ISO 7816 interface

In case the Secure Environment is implemented as a security element or as an embedded security element supporting an ISO/IEC 7816 interface [26], example of remote administration can be according to GlobalPlatform Secure Element Remote Application Management [47]. An embedded secure element provides the highest protection level 3 against attacks according the Classification of Protection levels table 6.2.1-1 in clause 6.2.1.

# C.3 Trusted Execution Environment

In case the secure environment is implemented as a Trusted Execution Environment (TEE) according to GlobalPlatform [22], remote administration shall be supported as specified in GlobalPlatform TEE Remote management [21]. TEE provides the medium protection level 2 against attacks according the Classification of Protection levels table 6.2.1-1 in clause 6.2.1.

### -----------------------Start of change 4---------------------------------------------

Annex I:
Void

### -----------------------End of change 3---------------------------------------------