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GUIDELINES for Change Requests:

Provide an informative introduction containing the problem(s) being solved, and a summary list of proposals.

Each CR should contain changes related to only one particular issue/problem.

If this is a correction, and the change applies to previous releases, a separate “mirror CR” should be posted at the same time as this CR

Mirror CR: applies only when the text, including clause numbering are exactly the same.

Companion CR: applies when the change means the same but the baselines differ in some way (e.g. clause number).

Follow the principle of completeness, where all changes related to the issue or problem within a deliverable are simultaneously proposed to be made e.g. a change impacting 5 tables should not only include a proposal to change only 3 tables. Include any changes to references, definitions, and abbreviations in the same deliverable.

Follow the drafting rules.

All pictures must be editable.

Check spelling and grammar.

Use change bars for modifications.

The change should include the current and surrounding clauses to clearly show where a change is located and to provide technical context of the proposed change. Additions of complete clauses need not show surrounding clauses as long as the proposed clause number clearly shows where the proposed new clause is located.

Multiple changes in a single CR shall be clearly separated by horizontal lines with embedded text such as, start of change 1, end of change 1, start of new clause, end of new clause.

When subsequent changes are made to the content of a CR, then the accepted version should not show changes over changes. The accepted version of the CR should only show changes relative to the baseline approved text.

## Introduction

As per TS-0004, clause 6.3.4.2.19, the allowed range for *notificationEventType* attribute is 1 to 8. Hence, CREATE/ UPDATE of <subscription> resource should not be allowed for *notificationEventType* attibute with values outside the valid range of 1 to 8.

----------------------Start of change 1-------------------------------------------

TP/oneM2M/CSE/SUB/CRE/018

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TP Id** | | TP/oneM2M/CSE/SUB/CRE/018 | |
| **Test objective** | | Check that the IUT rejects a CREATE request when *notificationEventType* is set to INVALID\_NOTIFICATION\_EVENT\_TYPE(values other than 1 to 8). | |
| **Reference** | | TS-0004, clause 6.3.4.2.19 | |
| **Config Id** | | CF01 | |
| **Parent Release** | | Release 1 | |
| **PICS Selection** | | PICS\_CSE | |
| **Initial conditions** | **with {**  the IUT **being** in the "initial state"  **and** the IUT **having registered** the AE  **and** the IUT **having** a resource as TARGET\_RESOURCE\_ADDRESS **which is subscribable and**  the Originator **having** privileges to perform CREATE operation on TARGET\_RESOURCE\_ADDRESS resource  **}** | | |
| **Expected behaviour** | **Test events** | | **Direction** |
| **when {**  the IUT **receives** a valid CREATE Request **from** AE **containing**  Resource Type **set to** subscription(23) **and**  To **set to** TARGET\_RESOURCE\_ADDRESS **and**  From **set to** AE-ID **and**  Content **containing**  subscription resource **containing**  *eventNotificationCriteria* attribute **containing**  *notificationEventType* element set to  INVALID\_NOTIFICATION\_EVENT\_TYPE (values other than 1 to 8)  **}** | | IUT  AE |
| **then {**  the IUT **sends** a valid Response **containing**  Response Status Code **set to** 4000 (BAD\_REQUEST)  **}** | | IUT  AE |

--------------------------------------------

---------------------------------

--------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------End of Change 1-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

------------------------------Start of Change 2---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

TP/oneM2M/CSE/SUB/UPD/010

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **TP Id** | TP/oneM2M/CSE/SUB/UPD/010 | |
| **Test objective** | Check that the IUT rejects a UPDATE request when *notificationEventType* is set to INVALID\_NOTIFICATION\_EVENT\_TYPE(values other than 1 to 8). | |
| **Reference** | TS-0004, clause 6.3.4.2.19 | |
| **Config Id** | CF01 | |
| **Parent Release** | Release 1 | |
| **PICS Selection** | PICS\_CSE | |
| **Initial conditions** | **with {**  the IUT **being** in the "initial state"  **and** the IUT **having registered** the AE  **and** the AE **having created** a <subscribed-to type> resource **and**  subscription child resource **containing**  *eventNotificationCriteria* attribute **containing**  attribute *notificationEventType* **set to** NOTIFICATION\_EVENT\_TYPE  **and** the AE **having** privileges to perform UPDATE operation on the subscription resource  **}** | |
| **Expected behaviour** | **Test events** | **Direction** |
| **when {**  the IUT **receives** a valid UPDATE Request **from** AE **containing**  To **set to** SUBSCRIPTION\_RESOURCE\_ADDRESS **and**  From **set to** AE\_RESOURCE\_ADDRESS **and**  Content **containing**  subscription resource **containing**  *eventNotificationCriteria attribute* ***containing***  *attribute notificationEventType* ***set to*** INVALID**\_**NOTIFICATION\_EVENT\_TYPE*(values other than 1 to 8)* **}** | IUT  AE |
| **then {**  the IUT **sends** a valid Response **containing**  Response Status Code **set to** 4000 (BAD\_REQUEST)  **}** | IUT  AE |

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

### -----------------------End of change 2---------------------------------------------

CHECK LIST
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